arXiv:1606.08933v1 [math.DG] 29 Jun 2016

L^2-transverse conformal Killing forms on complete foliated manifolds

Seoung Dal Jung and Huili Liu

Abstract. In this article, we study the L^2-transverse conformal Killing forms on complete foliated Riemannian manifolds and prove some vanishing theorems. Also, we study the same problems on Kähler foliations with a complete bundle-like metric.

1 Introduction

Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. A transversal conformal Killing field is a normal field with a flow preserving the conformal class of the transverse metric. As a generalization of a transversal conformal Killing field, we define the *transverse conformal Killing r-forms* ϕ as follows: for any vector field X normal to the foliation,

$$\nabla_X \phi = \frac{1}{r+1} i(X) d\phi - \frac{1}{q-r+1} X^\flat \wedge \delta_T \phi,$$

where $X^\flat$ is the dual 1-form of X. For the definition of δ_T, see Section 2. The transverse conformal Killing form ϕ with $\delta_T \phi = 0$ is called *transverse Killing form*, which is a generalization of a transversal Killing field. There are many researches about such fields [2,12,16]. Moreover, transverse (conformal) Killing forms on a point foliation are just (conformal) Killing forms, which were studied by many authors [10,13,15,19,20]. Recently, the transverse Killing and conformal Killing forms were studied in [4,5,7,9]. In particular, the non-existence of the transverse Killing and conformal Killing forms on compact foliated Riemannian manifolds was studied by S. D. Jung and K. Richardson in 2012 [9]. And the properties of such forms on Kähler foliations were studied by S. D. Jung and M.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C12, 53C27, 57R30

Key words and phrases. Transverse Killing form, Transverse conformal Killing form

J. Jung (for the transverse Killing forms) in 2012 [7] and by S. D. Jung (for the transverse conformal Killing forms) in 2015 [5], respectively.

In 2014, S. D. Jung [4] studied the non-existence of the L^2-transverse Killing forms on complete foliated Riemannian manifolds.

In this paper, we study the L^2-transverse conformal Killing forms for Riemannian and Kähler foliations with a bundle-like metric. In fact, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem A. (cf. Theorem 3.6) *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a complete foliated Riemannian manifold whose all leaves are compact. Assume that the mean curvature form κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If the curvature endomorphism F is nonpositive, then every L^2-transverse conformal Killing $r(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$-form is parallel.*

Theorem B. (cf. Corollary 3.7) *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Theorem A. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If the curvature endomorphism F is nonpositive and either negative at some point or $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$, then every L^2-transverse conformal Killing $r(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$-form is trivial.*

Since the transversal conformal Killing field is a dual vector field of the transverse conformal Killing 1-form, we have the following theorem.

Theorem C. (cf. Corollary 3.9) *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Theorem A. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If the transversal Ricci curvature is nonpositive and either negative at some point or $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$, then every L^2-transversal conformal Killing field is trivial.*

Remark. Theorem C was proved by S. Yorozu [23] when $\mathcal{F}$ is point foliation, and by T. Aoki and S. Yorozu [2], by S. Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur [17] when $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal foliation.

Let J be the extension of complex structure J to basic forms on Kähler foliations. For details, see (4.3) in section 4. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem D. (cf. Theorem 4.12) *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with a Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m > 4$ whose all leaves are compact. If $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, then for a L^2-transverse conformal Killing $r(2 \leq r \leq q-2)$-form ϕ, $J\phi$ is parallel.*

2 Transverse conformal Killing forms

Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a $(p+q)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q and a bundle-like metric g_M with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Then there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow T\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow TM \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $T\mathcal{F}$ is the tangent bundle and $Q = TM/T\mathcal{F}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}$. The metric g_M determines an orthogonal decomposition $TM = T\mathcal{F} \oplus T\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$, identifying Q with $T\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ and inducing a metric g_Q on Q. Let $\Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F})$ be the space of all *basic forms* on M, i.e.,

$$\Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F}) = \{\phi \in \Omega^*(M) \mid i(X)\phi = 0,\ i(X)d\phi = 0, \quad \forall X \in T\mathcal{F}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $\Omega^*(M) = \Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F})^{\perp}$[1]. Let ∇ be the transverse Levi-Civita connection on Q [10], which is extended to $\Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F})$. The exterior differential d on the de Rham complex $\Omega^*(M)$ restricts a differential $d_B : \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^{r+1}_B(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\kappa \in Q^*$ be the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$. It is well-known that the basic part κ_B of κ is closed [1]. We now recall the star operator $\bar{*} : \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^{q-r}_B(\mathcal{F})$, which is defined by [18,21]

$$\bar{*}\phi = (-1)^{p(q-r)} * (\phi \wedge \chi_{\mathcal{F}}), \quad \forall \phi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the characteristic form of $\mathcal{F}$ and $*$ is the Hodge star operator associated to g_M. Then, for any $\phi, \psi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$, it is well-known that $\phi \wedge \bar{*}\psi = \psi \wedge \bar{*}\phi$ and $\bar{*}^2\phi = (-1)^{r(q-r)}\phi$ [18]. Let ν be the transversal volume form, i.e., $*\nu = \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$. The pointwise inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\Lambda^r Q^*$ is defined uniquely by

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle \nu = \phi \wedge \bar{*}\psi. \tag{2.4}$$

The global inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg_B$ on $L^2\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$ is given by

$$\ll \phi, \psi \gg_B = \int_M \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \mu_M, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mu_M = \nu \wedge \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the volume form with respect to g_M. With respect to this scalar product, the formal adjoint operator $\delta_B : \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^{r-1}_B(\mathcal{F})$ of d_B is given by

$$\delta_B\phi = (-1)^{q(r+1)+1}\bar{*}d_T\bar{*}\phi = \delta_T\phi + (-1)^{q(r+1)}\bar{*}(\kappa_B \wedge \bar{*}\phi), \tag{2.6}$$

where $d_T = d - \kappa_B \wedge$ and $\delta_T = (-1)^{q(r+1)+1} \bar{*} d \bar{*}$ is the formal adjoint operator of d_T with respect to this scalar product. Trivially, for any basic form $\phi \in L^2\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$,

$$i(\kappa_B^\sharp)\phi = (-1)^{q(r+1)} \bar{*}(\kappa_B \wedge \bar{*}\phi), \tag{2.7}$$

where $(\cdot)^\sharp$ is a g_Q-dual vector to $(\cdot)$ [9]. The basic Laplacian Δ_B is given by $\Delta_B = d_B\delta_B + \delta_B d_B$. Let $\{E_a\}(a = 1, \cdots, q)$ be a local orthonormal basic frame on Q. We define $\nabla^*_{\rm tr}\nabla_{\rm tr} : \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$\nabla^*_{\rm tr}\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi = -\sum_a \nabla^2_{E_a,E_a}\phi + \nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi, \quad \phi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}), \tag{2.8}$$

where $\nabla^2_{X,Y} = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_{\nabla^M_X Y}$ for any $X, Y \in TM$ and ∇^M is the Levi-Civita connection on M. Then the operator $\nabla^*_{\rm tr}\nabla_{\rm tr}$ is positive definite and formally self adjoint on $L^2\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$ [3]. We define the bundle map $A_Y : \Lambda^r Q^* \to \Lambda^r Q^*$ for any $Y \in TM$ [12] by

$$A_Y\phi = \theta(Y)\phi - \nabla_Y\phi, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\theta(Y)$ is the transverse Lie derivative. For any vector field $X \in T\mathcal{F}$, $\theta(X)\phi = \nabla_X\phi$ [11] and so $A_X\phi = 0$. Now we define the curvature endomorphism $F : \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$F(\phi) = \sum_{a,b} \theta^a \wedge i(E_b)R^\nabla(E_b, E_a)\phi, \tag{2.10}$$

where R^∇ is the curvature tensor with respect to ∇ and θ^a is a dual 1-form to E_a. Note that if ϕ is a basic 1-form, then $F(\phi)^\sharp = \mathrm{Ric}^Q(\phi^\sharp)$, where Ric^Q is the transversal Ricci curvature of $\mathcal{F}$. Now we recall the generalized Weitzenböck formula.

Theorem 2.1 [3] *On a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we have that for any $\phi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$,*

$$\Delta_B\phi = \nabla^*_{\rm tr}\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi + F(\phi) + A_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi.$$

From Theorem 2.1, we obtain that for any $\phi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B|\phi|^2 = \langle\Delta_B\phi, \phi\rangle - |\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi|^2 - \langle F(\phi), \phi\rangle - \langle A_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi, \phi\rangle. \tag{2.11}$$

Definition 2.2 A basic r-form $\phi \in \Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$ is called a *transverse conformal Killing r-form* if for any normal vector field $X \in T\mathcal{F}^\perp$,

$$\nabla_X \phi = \frac{1}{r+1} i(X) d_B \phi - \frac{1}{r^*+1} X^\flat \wedge \delta_T \phi,$$

where $r^* = q - r$ and $X^\flat$ is the dual 1-form of X. In addition, if the basic r-form ϕ satisfies $\delta_T \phi = 0$, it is called a *transverse Killing r-form.*

Note that a transverse conformal Killing 1-form (resp. transverse Killing 1-form) is a g_Q-dual form of a transversal conformal Killing field (resp. transversal Killing field) [9].

Proposition 2.3 [9] *Let ϕ be a transverse conformal Killing r-form. Then*

$$F(\phi) = \frac{r}{r+1} \delta_T d_B \phi + \frac{r^*}{r^*+1} d_B \delta_T \phi, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\nabla^*_{\rm tr} \nabla_{\rm tr} \phi = \frac{1}{r+1} \delta_B d_B \phi + \frac{1}{r^*+1} d_T \delta_T \phi. \tag{2.13}$$

Theorem 2.4 [9] *Any basic r-form ϕ is a transverse conformal Killing r-form if and only if $\bar{*}\phi$ is a transverse conformal Killing $(q-r)$-form.*

Now we recall the generalized maximum principle on a complete foliated Riemannian manifold, that is, Riemannian foliation with a complete bundle-like metric.

Theorem 2.5 [6] *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a complete foliated Riemannian manifold whose all leaves are compact. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. Then a nonnegative basic function f such that $(\Delta_B - \kappa_B^\sharp) f \leq 0$ with $\int_M f^p < \infty$ $(p > 1)$ is constant.*

3 Vanishing theorems on Riemannian foliations

Now we recall the vanishing theorem on a compact foliated Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 3.1 [9] *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a compact foliated Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension q and a bundle-like metric g_M such that $\delta_B \kappa_B = 0$. Suppose F is non-positive and negative at some point. Then, for any $1 \leq r \leq q-1$, there are no non-trivial transverse conformal Killing r-forms on M.*

In this section, we study some vanishing theorems of the L^2-transverse conformal Killing forms on complete foliated Riemannian manifold. The basic form ϕ is said to be L^2-*basic form* if $\phi \in L^2\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})$, i.e., $\|\phi\|_B^2 < \infty$.

Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a complete foliated Riemanian manifold and all leaves be compact. We consider a smooth function μ on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(i)\ 0 \leq \mu(t) \leq 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad (ii)\ \mu(t) = 1 \ \text{ for } t \leq 1, \quad (iii)\ \mu(t) = 0 \ \text{ for } t \geq 2.$$

Now, we fix a point $x_0 \in M$. For each point $y \in M$, we denote by $\rho(y)$ the distance between leaves through x_0 and y. For any real number $l > 0$, we define a Lipschitz continuous function ω_l on M by

$$\omega_l(y) = \mu(\rho(y)/l).$$

Trivially, ω_l is a basic function. Let $B(l) = \{y \in M | \rho(y) \leq l\}$. Then $0 \leq \omega_l(y) \leq 1$ for any $y \in M$, $\text{supp } \omega_l \subset B(2l)$, $\omega_l(y) = 1$ for any $y \in B(l)$, $\lim_{l\to\infty} \omega_l = 1$ and $|d_B\omega_l| \leq \frac{C}{l}$ almost everywhere on M, where C is a positive constant independent of l [22]. Hence $\omega_l\psi$ has compact support for any basic form $\psi \in \Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})$ and $\omega_l\psi \to \psi$ (strongly) when $l \to \infty$.

Lemma 3.2 [14] *For any $\phi \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$, there exists a number A depending only on μ, such that*

$$\|d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi\|^2_{B(2l)} \leq \frac{qA^2}{l^2}\|\phi\|^2_{B(2l)},$$
$$\|d_B\omega_l \otimes \phi\|^2_{B(2l)} \leq \frac{qA^2}{l^2}\|\phi\|^2_{B(2l)},$$

where $\|\phi\|^2_{B(2l)} = \int_{B(2l)} \langle\phi, \phi\rangle\mu_M$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be a complete foliated Riemannian manifold whose all leaves are compact. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. Then a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form ϕ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{r+1}\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll i(\kappa_B^\sharp)d_B\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = \frac{1}{r^*+1}\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \kappa_B \wedge \delta_T\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} .$$

Proof. Let ϕ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\kappa_B^\sharp(|\omega_l\phi|^2) = 2\langle\nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}(\omega_l\phi), \omega_l\phi\rangle = 2\langle\omega_l\kappa_B, d_B\omega_l\rangle|\phi|^2 + 2\langle\nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi, \omega_l^2\phi\rangle.$$

Since $\delta_B\kappa_B = 0$, $\int_{B(2l)} \kappa_B^\sharp(|\omega_l\phi|^2) = 0$. Hence we have

$$0 = \int_{B(2l)} \langle \omega_l\kappa_B, d_B\omega_l\rangle|\phi|^2 + \int_{B(2l)} \langle \nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi, \omega_l^2\phi\rangle.$$

Since $|d\omega_l| < \frac{C}{l}$ and $|\kappa_B| < \infty$, $\lim_{l\to\infty}\int_M \langle \omega_l\kappa_B, d_B\omega_l\rangle|\phi|^2 = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \int_{B(2l)} \langle \nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp}\phi, \omega_l^2\phi\rangle = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

From Definition 2.2, the proof follows. □

Lemma 3.4 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. If ϕ is a L^2-basic form, then*

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l d_B\phi, d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi \gg_{B(2l)} \geq -A_1\|d_B\phi\|_B^2, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l\delta_T\phi, i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \geq -A_2\|\delta_T\phi\|_B^2, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \kappa_B \wedge \delta_T\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \geq -A_3\|\delta_T\phi\|_B^2 - \frac{1}{4A_3}\|i(\kappa_B^\sharp)\phi\|_B^2 \tag{3.4}$$

for any positive real numbers A_1, A_2 and A_3.

Proof. Let ϕ be a L^2-basic form. From Lemma 3.2 and the Schwartz inequality, we have

$$2\Big| \ll \omega_l d_B\phi, d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi \gg_{B(2l)} \Big| \leq \epsilon_1\|\omega_l d_B\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{qA^2}{l^2\epsilon_1}\|\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2$$

for a positive real number ϵ_1. If we let $l \to \infty$, then (3.2) is proved. Similarly, by using the inequality $|d_B\omega_l|^2|\phi|^2 = |i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi|^2 + |d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi|^2$, we have

$$2\Big| \ll \omega_l\delta_T\phi, i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \Big| \leq \epsilon_2\|\omega_l\delta_T\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{C^2 - qA^2}{l^2\epsilon_2}\|\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2$$

for a positive real number ϵ_2. If we let $l \to \infty$, then (3.3) is proved. From the Schwartz's inequality, the proof of (3.4) is trivial. □

From Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\
&\geq \frac{r}{r+1}(1-2A_1)\|d_B\phi\|_B^2 + \frac{1}{r^*+1}\{r^*(1-2A_2) - A_3(q-2r)\}\|\delta_T\phi\|_B^2 \\
&- \frac{q-2r}{4(r^*+1)A_3}\|i(\kappa_B^\sharp)\phi\|_B^2
\end{aligned}$$

for any positive real numbers A_1, A_2 and A_3.

Proof. Let ϕ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form. Since $\delta_T(\omega_l^2\phi) = \omega_l^2\delta_T\phi - 2\omega_l i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi$, from (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\
&= \frac{r}{r+1}\|\omega_l d_B\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{r^*}{r^*+1}\|\omega_l\delta_T\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 \\
&+ \frac{2r}{r+1} \ll \omega_l d_B\phi, d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi \gg_{B(2l)} - \frac{2r^*}{r^*+1} \ll \omega_l\delta_T\phi, i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\
&- \frac{r}{r+1} \ll i(\kappa_B^\sharp)d_B\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} + \frac{r^*}{r^*+1} \ll \kappa_B \wedge \delta_T\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} .
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} &= \frac{r}{r+1}\|d_B\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{r^*}{r^*+1}\|\delta_T\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 \\
&+ \frac{2r}{r+1}\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l d_B\phi, d_B\omega_l \wedge \phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\
&- \frac{2r^*}{r^*+1}\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l\delta_T\phi, i(\nabla\omega_l)\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\
&+ \frac{q-2r}{r^*+1}\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll \kappa_B \wedge \delta_T\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} .
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.4, the proof is completed. □

Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If the curvature endomorphism F is nonpositive, then every L^2-transverse conformal Killing $r(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$-form is parallel.*

Proof. Let ϕ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form.

(i) In case of $r \geq \frac{q}{2}$. From Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \geq \frac{r}{r+1}(1-2A_1)\|d_B\phi\|_B^2 + \frac{1}{r^*+1}\{r^*(1-2A_2) - A_3(q-2r)\}\|\delta_T\phi\|_B^2.$$

If we choose $0 < A_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < A_2 < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Since F is nonpositive, from (3.5) we have

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \ll F(\phi), \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence from Proposition 3.5, we have $d_B\phi = 0,\ \delta_T\phi = 0$ and $i(\kappa_B^\sharp)\phi = 0$. Also from (2.13), we have

$$\nabla_{\rm tr}^*\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

By multiplying $\omega_l^2\phi$ and by the Schwarz's inequality, we have that, for a real number $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \ll \nabla_{\rm tr}^*\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi, \omega_l^2\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\ =& \|\omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \ll \nabla_{\rm tr}\phi, 2\omega_l d_B\omega_l \otimes \phi \gg_{B(2l)} \\ \geq& (1-\epsilon)\|\omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\|d_B\omega_l \otimes \phi\|_{B(2l)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$0 \geq (1-\epsilon)\|\omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 - \frac{qA^2}{l^2\epsilon}\|\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2.$$

If we let $l \to \infty$, then $(1-\epsilon)\|\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi\|_B^2 \leq 0$. So if we choose $\epsilon < 1$, then

$$\|\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi\|_B^2 = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence $\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi = 0$, that is, ϕ is parallel.

(ii) In case of $r \leq \frac{q}{2}$. From Theorem 2.4, $\bar{*}\phi$ is also L^2-transverse conformal Killing r^*-form. Since $r^* \geq \frac{q}{2}$, from (i), $\bar{*}\phi$ is parallel. Since $\nabla_{\rm tr}\bar{*}\phi = \bar{*}\nabla_{\rm tr}\phi$ and $\bar{*}$ is an isometry, ϕ is parallel. Hence any L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form is parallel. From (i) and (ii), the proof is completed. □

Corollary 3.7 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If F is nonpositive and either negative at some point or* $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$*, then every L^2-transverse conformal Killing r $(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$-form ϕ is trivial.*

Proof. Since ϕ is parallel, $F(\phi) = 0$. Hence the negativity of F means that ϕ is trivial. Now, we consider $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$. From (2.11) and Theorem 2.5, $|\phi|^2$ is constant. Hence $\int_M |\phi|^2 < \infty$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$ yield that ϕ is trivial. □

Remark 3.8 (*cf.* [4]) Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If F is nonpositive and either negative at some point or $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$, then every L^2-transverse Killing r $(1 \leq r \leq q-1)$-form is trivial.

Since $F(\phi)^\sharp = \mathrm{Ric}^Q(\phi^\sharp)$ for any basic 1-form, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. If the transversal Ricci curvature is nonpositive and either negative at some point or* $\mathrm{Vol}(M) = \infty$*, then every L^2-transversal conformal Killing field is trivial.*

Remark 3.10 (1) When $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation by points, Corollary 3.9 was given in [23].

(2) When $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, Corollary 3.9 was proved in [2] and [17], respectively. So Corollary 3.9 is a generalization of the results in [2, 17] to the non-minimal case.

4 The properties on Kähler foliations

Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be a Riemannian manifold with a Kähler foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q = 2m$ and a bundle-like metric g_M [5,16]. Namely, there is a holonomy invariant almost complex structure $J : Q \to Q$ with respect to which g_Q is Hermitian, i.e., $g_Q(JX, JY) = g_Q(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in Q$ and $\nabla J = 0$. Note that for any $X, Y \in TM$,

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g_Q(\pi(X), J\pi(Y)) \tag{4.1}$$

defines a basic 2-form Ω, which is closed as consequence of $\nabla g_Q = 0$ and $\nabla J = 0$. Now, we define the operators $L : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^{r+2}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Lambda : \Omega_b^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^{r-2}(\mathcal{F})$ respectively by [8]

$$L(\phi) = \epsilon(\Omega)\phi, \quad \Lambda(\phi) = i(\Omega)\phi, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\epsilon(\Omega)\phi = \Omega \wedge \phi$ and $i(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{2m} i(JE_a)i(E_a)$. Trivially, for any basic forms $\phi \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ and $\psi \in \Omega_B^{r+2}(\mathcal{F})$, $\langle L(\phi), \psi\rangle = \langle \phi, \Lambda(\psi)\rangle$. Moreover, for any basic r-form ϕ, $[\Lambda, L]\phi = \frac{1}{2}(q-2r)\phi$.

Also, we define the operator $\tilde{J} : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$\tilde{J}(\phi) = \sum_{a=1}^{2m} J\theta^a \wedge i(E_a)\phi. \tag{4.3}$$

Trivially, $\tilde{J}\phi = J\phi$ for any basic 1-form ϕ. So $\tilde{J}$ is an extension of the complex structure J to basic forms. From now on, if we have no confusion, we write $\tilde{J} \equiv J$.

Lemma 4.1 [5] *On a Kähler foliation $(\mathcal{F}, J)$, we have*

$$[J, L] = [J, \Lambda] = [F, J] = [F, \Lambda] = 0.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, then

$$[J, \Delta_B] = [\Lambda, \Delta_B] = 0.$$

Proposition 4.2 [5] *On a Kähler foliation $(\mathcal{F}, J)$, a transverse conformal Killing r-form ϕ satisfies*

$$F(J\phi) = 0.$$

Now, we recall the operators $d_B^c : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^{r+1}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\delta_B^c : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^{r-1}(\mathcal{F})$, which are given by [8]

$$d_B^c\phi = \sum_{a=1}^{2m} J\theta^a \wedge \nabla_{E_a}\phi, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\delta_B^c\phi = -\sum_{a=1}^{2m} i(JE_a)\nabla_{E_a}\phi + i(J\kappa_B^\sharp)\phi. \tag{4.5}$$

Trivially, δ_B^c is a formal adjoint of d_B^c and ${\delta_B^c}^2 = {d_B^c}^2 = 0$ [8]. Also, we define two operators d_T^c and δ_T^c by

$$d_T^c = d_B^c - \epsilon(J\kappa_B), \quad \delta_T^c = \delta_B^c - i(J\kappa_B^\sharp). \tag{4.6}$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, then $d_B^c = d_T^c$ and $\delta_B^c = \delta_T^c$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 [5] *On a minimal Kähler foliation $(\mathcal{F}, J)$, we have*

$$d_B^c\delta_B + \delta_B d_B^c = d_B\delta_B^c + \delta_B^c d_B = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Lemma 4.4 [5] *On a Kähler foliation $(\mathcal{F}, J)$, a transverse conformal Killing r-form ϕ satisfies*

$$(rr^* - r - 2)d_B^c\phi = (r^* + 1)d_B J\phi - 2(r + 1)\delta_T L\phi, \tag{4.8}$$
$$(rr^* - r^* - 2)\delta_T^c\phi = (r + 1)\delta_T J\phi + 2(r^* + 1)d_B\Lambda\phi. \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.5 [5] *Let $(M, g_M, J, \mathcal{F})$ be a Kähler foliation. Then a transverse conformal Killing r-form ϕ satisfies*

$$a_1\delta_T d_B J\phi + a_2 d_B\delta_T J\phi + a_3 e(\kappa_B^\sharp)L\phi = 0,$$

where $a_1 = (r^*+1)(rr^*-r^*-r)(rr^*-r^*-2)$, $a_2 = (r^*+1)(rr^*-r^*-r)(rr^*-r-2)$ and $a_3 = 2(r - r^*)(rr^* - r^* - 2)$.

Note that $rr^* - r^* - 2 = 0$ if and only if $q = 4$. Hence we have the following.

Lemma 4.6 [5] *Let $(M, g_M, J, \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal Kähler foliation of codimension $q(\neq 4)$. Then for a transverse conformal Killing r $(2 \leq r \leq q - 2)$-form ϕ,*

$$b_1 b_3\delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = (1 - b_1 b_3)\delta_B d_B^c\Lambda\phi + b_3(1 - b_1)\delta_B^c d_B\Lambda\phi, \tag{4.10}$$
$$b_2 d_B\delta_B J\Lambda\phi + b_2(1 - b_1)\delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = (b_1 b_2 - 1)\delta_B^c d_B\Lambda\phi + b_2(b_1 - 1)\delta_B d_B^c\Lambda\phi, \tag{4.11}$$

where $b_1 = \frac{r^*(r+1)}{rr^*-r^*-2}$, $b_2 = \frac{r+1}{(r^*+1)(r-1)}$ and $b_3 = \frac{r^*+1}{(r+1)(r^*-1)}$.

From now on, let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be a complete Kähler foliation, i.e., a Kähler foliation with a complete bundle-like metric.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be a complete Kähler foliation of codimension $q = 2m$ whose all leaves are compact. Assume that κ_B is bounded and coclosed. Let $\phi \in \Omega_B^m(\mathcal{F})$ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing $\frac{q}{2}$-form. If $q \neq 4$, then $J\phi \in \Omega_B^m(\mathcal{F})$ is parallel. In addition, if $q = 4$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, then $J\phi \in \Omega_B^2(\mathcal{F})$ is parallel.*

Proof. The proof is similar process to the one in [5, Theorem 5.6]. Let $\phi \in \Omega_B^m(\mathcal{F})$ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing m-form. By Lemma 4.5, $a_1 = a_2 = m(m+1)^3(m-2)^2$ and $a_3 = 0$.

(i) In cse of $m \neq 2$, i.e., $q \neq 4$, we have $a_1 = a_2 \neq 0$. That is,

$$d_B\delta_T J\phi + \delta_T d_B J\phi = 0.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\Delta_B J\phi = \theta(\kappa_B^\sharp) J\phi. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence, by the scalar Weitzenböck formula (2.11) and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_B - \kappa_B^\sharp)|J\phi|^2 = -|\nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi|^2 \leq 0. \tag{4.13}$$

From the generalized maximum principle (Theorem 2.5), $|J\phi|$ is constant. Again, from (4.13), we have

$$\nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

which implies that $J\phi$ is parallel.

(ii) In case of $m = 2$, i.e., $q = 4$, from Lemma 4.4, we have

$$d_B J\phi = 2\delta_T L\phi, \quad \delta_T J\phi = -2d_B \Lambda\phi. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.15), we have

$$\delta_B d_B J\phi = 2\delta_B\delta_T L\phi, \quad d_B\delta_T J\phi = 0.$$

Hence if $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, then $\Delta_B J\phi = 0$. From Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\nabla_{\rm tr}^*\nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

By multiplying $\omega_l^2 J\phi$ and by integrating, we have

$$\|\omega_l \nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + 2 \ll \omega_l \nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi, d_B\omega_l \otimes J\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

By the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l \nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi, d_B\omega_l \otimes J\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0.$$

Hence from (4.17), we have $\|\nabla_{\rm tr} J\phi\|_B^2 = 0$, i.e., $J\phi$ is parallel. Consequently, from (i) and (ii), the proof is completed. □

Corollary 4.8 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be as in Theorem 4.7, and suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Then for any L^2-transverse conformal Killing $\frac{q}{2}$-form, $J\phi$ is parallel.*

Lemma 4.9 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be as in Theorem 4.7, suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Then for any L^2-basic form ϕ,*

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B \{d_B J\Lambda\phi + d_B^c \Lambda\phi\}, d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. Note that for any $\phi \in L^2\Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$\omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = \delta_B\{d_B(\omega_l^2 J\Lambda\phi) - 2\omega_l d_B\omega_l \wedge J\Lambda\phi\} + 2\omega_l i(\nabla\omega_l) d_B J\Lambda\phi, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi = \delta_B\{d_B^c(\omega_l^2 \Lambda\phi) - 2\omega_l J(d_B\omega_l) \wedge \Lambda\phi\} + 2\omega_l i(\nabla\omega_l) d_B^c \Lambda\phi. \tag{4.22}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, from Lemma 4.3 and (4.21), we have

$$\ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = -2 \ll \omega_l d_B J\Lambda\phi, d_B\omega_l \wedge d_B \delta_B^c \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} . \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.23), by using the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi, d_B \delta_B^c \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \right| &\le \epsilon \|\omega_l d_B J\Lambda\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\|d_B\omega_l \wedge d_B\delta_B^c\Lambda\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 \\ &\le \epsilon \|\omega_l d_B J\Lambda\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 + \frac{qA^2}{\epsilon l^2}\|d_B\delta_B^c\Lambda\phi\|_{B(2l)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any positive real number ϵ. If we let $l \to \infty$, then

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \left| \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} \right| \le \epsilon \|d_B J\Lambda\phi\|_B^2.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the proof of (4.18) is completed. By using (4.22), the proof of (4.19) is similarly completed. Similarly, the proof of (4.20) follows. □

Theorem 4.10 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be as in Theorem 4.7, and suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Then for any L^2-transverse conformal Killing r $(2 \le r \le q-2)$-form ϕ, $J\Lambda\phi$ is basic-harmonic.*

Proof. (i) In case of $q \neq 4$. From (4.10), we have

$$b_1 b_3 \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = (1 - b_1 b_3) \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi, \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} + b_3(1 - b_1)\|\omega_l \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi\|^2_{B(2l)}. \tag{4.24}$$

Since $b_1 \neq 1$ and $b_3 \neq 0$, from Lemma 4.9, if we let $l \to \infty$, then

$$\|\delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi\|_B^2 = 0, \quad i.e., \ \delta_B^c d_B \Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

Hence from (4.11), we have

$$b_2 d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi = b_2(b_1 - 1)\delta_B\{d_B J\Lambda\phi + d_B^c \Lambda\phi\}. \tag{4.26}$$

By multiplying $\omega_l^2 d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi$ in (4.26) and by integrating, we have

$$b_2\|\omega_l d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi\|^2 = b_2(b_1 - 1) \ll \omega_l^2 \delta_B\{d_B J\Lambda\phi + d_B^c \Lambda\phi\}, d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} .$$

If we let $l \to \infty$, then from (4.20),

$$\|d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi\|_B^2 = 0, \quad i.e., \ d_B \delta_B J\Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.27}$$

Again from Lemma 4.6, since $b_2(1 - b_1) \neq 0$, we have

$$b_1 b_3 \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = (1 - b_1 b_2)\delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi \tag{4.28}$$

$$\delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = -\delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi. \tag{4.29}$$

Since $b_1 b_3 \neq b_1 b_2 - 1$, from (4.28) and (4.29), we have

$$\delta_B d_B^c \Lambda\phi = 0 \quad and \quad \delta_B d_B J\Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.30}$$

From (4.27) and (4.30), we have

$$\Delta_B J\Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.31}$$

That is, $J\Lambda\phi$ is basic-harmonic. (ii) In case of $q = 4$, since $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, from Theorem 4.7, $J\phi \in \Omega_B^2(\mathcal{F})$ is parallel and so basic-harmonic, i.e., $\Delta_B J\phi = 0$. Hence from Lemma 4.1, $\Delta_B J\Lambda\phi = 0$, i.e., $J\Lambda\phi$ is a basic-harmonic 2-form. Hence from (i) and (ii), the proof is completed. □

Corollary 4.11 *Let $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F}, J)$ be as in Theorem 4.7, and suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Then for any L^2-transverse conformal Killing r $(2 \leq r \leq q - 2)$-form ϕ, $J\Lambda\phi$ is parallel.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we have $F(J\Lambda\phi) = \Lambda F(J\phi) = 0$. Hence by the generalized Weitzenböck formula (Theorem 2.1) and Theorem 4.10, we have

$$\nabla^*_{\rm tr}\nabla_{\rm tr}J\Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.32}$$

By multiplying $\omega_l^2 J\Lambda\phi$ in (4.32) and by integrating, we have

$$\|\omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}J\Lambda\phi\|^2_{B(2l)} + 2 \ll \omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}J\Lambda\phi, d_B\omega_l \otimes J\Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0. \tag{4.33}$$

By the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \ll \omega_l\nabla_{\rm tr}J\Lambda\phi, d_B\omega_l \otimes J\Lambda\phi \gg_{B(2l)} = 0. \tag{4.34}$$

Hence from (4.33) and (4.34), if we let $l \to \infty$, then

$$\nabla_{\rm tr}J\Lambda\phi = 0. \tag{4.35}$$

That is, $J\Lambda\phi$ is parallel. □

Theorem 4.12 *Let $(M, g_M, J, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Theorem 4.7, and suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Then for a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r $(2 \le r \le q-2)$-form ϕ, $J\phi$ is parallel.*

Proof. The proof is similar process to the one in [5, Theorem 5.12]. That is, let ϕ be a L^2-transverse conformal Killing r-form. Then $\bar{*}\phi$ is also a L^2-transverse conformal Killing $(q-r)$-form [9]. Hence by Corollary 4.11, $J\Lambda\bar{*}\phi$ is parallel. Since $[\nabla_{\rm tr}, \bar{*}] = 0$, $[J, \bar{*}] = 0$ and $L\bar{*} = \bar{*}\Lambda$, $\bar{*}J\Lambda\bar{*}\phi = \pm LJ\phi$ is parallel. Note that $(m-r)J\phi = [\Lambda, L]J\phi$. Since $[L, \nabla_{\rm tr}] = [\Lambda, \nabla_{\rm tr}] = 0$ and $J\Lambda\phi$ is parallel, $(m-r)\nabla_{\rm tr}J\phi = 0$. So if $r \neq m$, then $J\phi$ is parallel. For $r = m$, from Corollary 4.8, $J\phi$ is parallel. So the proof is completed. □

For the point foliation, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13 *Let (M, g_M, J) be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension $n = 2m$. Then for any L^2-conformal Killing $r(2 \le r \le n-2)$-forms ϕ, $J\phi$ is parallel.*

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP) (NRF-2015R1A2A2A01003491) and the second author was supported by NSFC (No. 11371080).

References

[1] J.A.Alvarez López, *The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 10(1992), 179-194.

[2] T. Aoki and S. Yorozu, *L^2-transverse conformal and Killing fields on complete foliated Riemannian manifolds*, Yokohama Math. J. 36 (1988), 27-41.

[3] S. D. Jung, *Eigenvalue estimate for the basic Dirac operator on a Riemannian foliation admitting a basic harmonic 1-form*, J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007), 1239-1246.

[4] S. D. Jung, *Transverse Killing forms on complete foliated Riemannian manifolds*, Honam Math. J. 36 (2014), 731-737.

[5] S. D. Jung, *Transverse conformal Killing forms on Kähler foliations*, J. Geom. Phys. 90 (2015), 29-41.

[6] M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung, *Liouville type theorem for transversally harmonic maps*, arXiv:1307.3627v2[math. DG] 29 Aug 2014.

[7] S. D. Jung and M. J. Jung, *Transverse Killing forms on a Kähler foliation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49(2012), 445-454.

[8] S. D. Jung and M. J. Jung *Transversally holomorphic maps between Kähler foliations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 416(2014), 683-697.

[9] S. D. Jung and K. Richardson, *Transverse conformal Killing forms and a Gallot-Meyer theorem for foliations*, Math. Z. 270(2012), 337-350.

[10] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Harmonic foliations*, Proc. National Science Foundation Conference on Harmonic Maps, Tulance, Dec. 1980, Lecture Notes in Math. 949, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982, 87-121.

[11] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *De Rham-Hodge theory for Riemannian foliations*, Math. Ann. 277(1987), 415-431.

[12] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations*, Tôhoku Math. J. 34(1982), 525-538.

[13] T. Kashiwada, *On conformal Killing tensor*, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 19(1968), 67-74.

[14] H. Kitahara, *Remarks on square-integrable basic cohomology spaces on a foliated Riemannian manifold*, Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), 187-193.

[15] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *Twistor forms on Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. II(2003), 823-845.

[16] S. Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur, *Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for harmonic Kähler foliations*, Tôhoku Math. J. 40 (1988), 599-611.

[17] S. Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur, *Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms of harmonic foliations on complete manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 7 (1989), 47-57.

[18] E. Park and K. Richardson, *The basic Laplacian of a Riemannian foliation*, Amer. J. Math. 118(1996), 1249-1275.

[19] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z. 245(2003), 503-527.

[20] S. Tachibana, *On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space*, Tôhoku Math. J. 21(1969), 56-64.

[21] Ph. Tondeur, *Geometry of foliations*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel; Boston; Berlin, 1997.

[22] S. Yorozu, *Conformal and Killing vector fields on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 3 (1984), Geometry of Geodesics and Related Topics, 459-472.

[23] S. Yorozu, *Notes on suare-integrable cohomology spaces on certain foliated manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 255 (1979), 329-341.

Seoung Dal Jung
Department of Mathematics, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea (e-mail: sdjung@jejunu.ac.kr)

Huili Liu
Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110004, P. R. China (e-mail: liuhl@mail.neu.edu.cn)